Analytical test methods are used to evaluate sorbents before purchase; monitor their performance for regulatory compliance; help to determine when they need to be changed; and develop new sorbents and applications. The world of analytical chemistry has had major advancements over the last two decades that are beneficial for activated carbon users and manufacturers. Measurements are now routinely provided at micrograms per liter (ppb) instead of milligrams per liter (ppm). With such lower quantitative detection level measurements, greater demands have been placed on the sorbents used to treat water and air streams to reduce contaminants.

Perhaps the best analytical instrument to come along for sorbent evaluations has been put together by Dr. Mick Greenbank. This instrumental method provides the sorbents’ isotherms for organic compounds that are physically adsorbed. Isotherms are a plot of the compound’s equilibrium concentration on the x-axis (in water or air) against the compound’s adsorption loading on the sorbent in grams per 100 grams or 100 milliliter of volume of the sorbent on the y-axis.

**GRPD to GAED name change**

Choosing a name for a product, disease, service, or child is an important task. Consider that pork industry representatives lobbied for a name change from swine flu to H1N1, based on there being no evidence linking the spread from pigs to humans, and also to prevent a misconception that pork products could transmit the disease.

One consideration for a brand name is that it should be reflective of, and understandable by, the targeted market users. This is why Gravimetric Rapid Pore Size Distribution has been renamed Gravimetric Adsorption Energy Distribution.

The basis for the name change encompasses several critical elements:

- The testing technology is not easily understood by users.
- Its full value opportunity is not being acknowledged and appreciated.
- The method name is not reflective of current market demands.
- Use of the word ‘pore’ replaces the original developer’s use of adsorption space.
- The new test name better positions the company to do research on the original 1914 Polanyi Model article in celebration of its 100th anniversary.

**ASTM and complimentary GAED test methods**

Presently, the testing community provides many more iodine and butane activity test runs than GAED full-characterization test runs. Even though those tests provide limited information compared to GAED, they are still the most requested test methods. A major limitation is that these two tests are conducted with the challenge chemical near its water- and air-saturation concentrations. Challenging activated carbon with a contaminant near its saturation concentration does not represent most activated carbon user applications.

The GAED full-sorbent characterization effectively challenges the sorbent over seven orders of adsorbate concentration, from trace to near-saturation concentration. This range provides a characteristic curve shown in Figure 1 for coconut-, coal- and wood-based commercial benchmark activated carbons. The x-axis is the quantitative adsorption energy (the higher the number, the stronger the adsorptive binding energy). The y-axis is the cumulative adsorption space for 100 grams of each sorbent at a given x-axis adsorption energy value.

This graphical representation is typical: coconut-based activated carbon has more high-energy binding sites than coal-based, and wood-based has the least. But, the wood has more total adsorption space than coconut- and coal-based activated carbon, which are about the same, because the adsorption spaces in wood are much larger and weaker than coconut- or coal-based activated carbons.

These characteristic curves are all that is needed to provide the sorbent’s full characterization, including vapor- or aqueous-isotherms.
Most modern activated carbon user problems consist of contaminants in water or air at much-lower-than saturation concentrations. When contaminants are near saturation, nearly all of carbon’s adsorption energy sites will fill up with the contaminant. When the contaminants are well below saturation concentration, however, only activated carbons’ high-adsorption energy sites with sufficient adsorption energy will take up and hold contaminants. Lower-adsorption energy sites will not take up contaminants. Since all activated carbons are not the same, users should purchase those with the highest number of adsorption energy sites needed for their application.

Figure 2 provides a GAED run-derived presentation. The y-axis is the number of adsorption energy binding sites as a function of the absolute binding energy on the x-axis.

GAED provides activated carbon users critical information about activated carbon performance at users’ real-world problem concentrations. GAED provides users needed isotherms to determine contaminant-loading capacity, and how much activated carbon will be needed to solve the problem.

Historically, GAED has been used to provide the best activated carbons for users. Prior work has shown that nine carbons provided essentially the same iodine number and BET surface areas, but GAED revealed the two best carbons to solve activated carbons for users. Prior work has shown that nine carbons will be needed to solve the problem.

Typically, drinking water plants have a few regulated compounds, or some taste and odor compounds, which need to be removed. GAED is designed to facilitate removal of these kinds of low-level compound problems. ASTM and GAED test methods are complimentary; both need to be used.

GAED path forward

Users of activated carbons are now putting GAED test requirements and ASTM test methods into purchasing specifications. Most manufacturers have subjected product lines to GAED test runs, enabling users to request information from their suppliers to help make decisions.

Providing GAED instruments on a global basis is expected to help manufacturers better produce sorbent media products to solve modern problems that are currently waiting for appropriate sorbents. The future projection is that advancements in analytical chemistry will continue to play a large role providing highly purified drinking water from municipal plants to POU applications.

PACS acknowledgement

Dr. Milton Manes and Dr. Mick Greenbank are acknowledged for demonstrating the benefits of the Polanyi Heterogeneous Adsorption Model. Homer Yute converted the Polanyi Model into useful software programs at PACS Laboratories.

Input and advice welcomed

PACS has previously published in WC&P to demonstrate the practical applications for the testing technology. A series of new applications for GAED instrumentation will be presented at upcoming technical conferences. We plan to publish these articles here later in 2010.

If you have opinions on this name change or other adsorption models, let the authors know through Henry@pacslabs.com. We encourage readers to inform us about other heterogeneous adsorption models as we prepare a centennial 2014 Polanyi Model article. We expect one more name change when this testing technology is fully commercialized with an advanced instrument for the sorbent industry. Presently there are only three of these instruments in the world.
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